



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,264	08/22/2003	Bradley R. Johnson	50005-114 9466 EXAMINER	
32215	7590 07/26/2005			
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			TUROCY, DAVID P	
121 SW SALMON STREET, SUITE 1600 ONE WORLD TRADE CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, OR 97204			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/646,264	JOHNSON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David Turocy	1762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address 。		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	lay 2005.			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		·		
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application	•	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian raquirament			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority document				
2. Certified copies of the priority document				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.		
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ou. •		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. The previous restriction requirement has been withdrawn because it has been deemed improper. Set forth below is a new restriction requirement.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-22 and 38-45, drawn to a method of depositing chalcogenide vapor, classified in class 427, subclass 255.361.
  - II. Claims 23-36, drawn to chalcogenide waveguide structure, classified in class 385, subclass 141.
  - III. Claim 37, drawn to evanescent wave spectroscopic sensor, classified in class 385, subclass 12.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different method such as vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) method for deposition of chalcogenide structures. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. See MPEP 2113.

**Art Unit: 1762** 

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different method such as vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) method for deposition of chalcogenide.
- 5. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different invention of group II operates as an optical fiber and the invention of group III functions as a evanescent wave spectroscopic sensor.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1762

- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Turocy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00, No 2nd Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1762

AU 1762

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER